



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,525	06/26/2003	Giacomo Digrigoli	2043.114US1	9327
49845	7590	12/16/2008		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER POINVIL, FRANTZY	
			ART UNIT 3696	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 12/16/2008	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/608,525	Applicant(s) DIGRIGOLI ET AL.	
	Examiner Frantzy Poinvil	Art Unit 3696	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/18//2008; 10/16/08; 12/17/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/16/2008 has been entered.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/16/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boesch et al. (US Patent No. 5,897,621).

As per claims 1-37, Boesch et al disclose a system and method for processing payment transactions in a multi-currency payment system. The system and method comprise communicating to a sender via a communications network a user interface that facilitates sender

Art Unit: 3696

input with respect to a desired currency in which a payment is to be made; receiving data identifying a sender-selected currency from the sender via the communications network; communicating to the sender via the communications network information identifying a current exchange rate for conversion between the sender-selected currency and a sender primary currency. Applicant is directed to column 7, line 7 to column 9, line 25.

Boesch et al further disclose the sender primary currency is a currency used in a majority of transactions involving the sender and wherein the payment is to fund from a balance in the sender-selected currency that is maintained within a sender account. See column 5, lines 44-64 and column 6, lines 20-31 and lines 44-49 of Boesch et al.

Boesch et al also teach determining that the sender does not have a balance in the sender selected currency within the sender account and converting an equivalent value in the sender primary currency into the sender-selected currency to fund the payment. See column 6, lines 20-31, column 4, lines 8-45 and column 10, lines 29-65 of Boesch et al.

Applicant has amended the independent claims 1, 34 and 36 to recite that enabling a receiving of a selection from the recipient, the selection from the recipient is selected from a group consisting of an acceptance of the payment in the sender-selected currency and a denial of the payment in the sender selected currency and argues that Boesch et al fail to teach or suggest this limitation.

In response, Boesch et al do not explicitly recite the exact language recited in the applicant's independent claims. It is noted that Boesch et al are directed to a commerce system in which a customer in a given type currency provides payment to a merchant for the purchases

Art Unit: 3696

of goods and/or services. Both the customer and the merchant agree to the currency pair being used. See the abstract of Boesch et al.

Boesch et al state that the merchant is willing to accept payment in a currency different than that of the customer. See the abstract and column 6, lines 11-19. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to note at the time the invention was made that if the customer selects a currency not acceptable by the merchant, the merchant would have sent a notification to the customer informing the customer of an unacceptable selection of a currency by the customer. Therefore, denying acceptance of the sender selected currency and resulting in informing the recipient of a denial of the payment in the sender selected currency would have been done by either the merchant or the server of the system of Boesch et al.

Regarding claims 13, 31, 35 and 37, applicant's representative argues that the function of receiving from the client or recipient an indication or decision with respect to an acceptance of the payment in the sender-selected currency, and to notify the sender via the communications network of the recipient decision.

In response, it is noted that Boesch et al are directed to a system and method for multi-currency transactions. The system and method comprise a remote customer accessing a merchant website to purchase goods in one currency and to perform payment transactions with another currency acceptable by the merchant. See the abstract. The customer and merchant have contracted to transact with particular currency pairs. See column 6, lines 32-49 or Boesch et al. Thus, the Examiner notes that if the sender or customer uses a currency that may not be acceptable by the merchant, the customer or client must inform or notify the merchant and in

Art Unit: 3696

return the merchant must provide an indication of acceptance or denial of the currency the client or sender intends to use. Thus, these steps taken by the customer and merchant would have been obvious steps taken by the one having ordinary skill in ordinary business practice so that both parties (the customer and merchant) agree in the type of currencies to be used for the payment of a purchase transactions.

Boesch et al also disclose updating the current exchange rate at predefined time intervals and upon determining that a predefined time interval has expired, retrieving current exchange rates from a third party system, applying a set of rules to the retrieved rates to verify that the retrieved rates are correct and replacing existing rates are correct and replacing existing rates stored in a database with the retrieved rates. See column 8, line 49 to column 9, line 3.

Boesch et al also disclose accumulating payment transactions in each of a plurality of currencies used during the predefined time interval; requesting the third party system to trade the accumulated payment transactions and receiving confirmation from the third party system that trades of the accumulated payment transactions have been completed. See column 14, lines 14-40.

Functions of determining that the recipient did not accept the payment in the sender-selected currency and displaying an error message to the sender offering the sender to select a different currency for the payment are to provide an interactive session to the involved parties are inherent features in the system of Boesch et al.

The computerized system stores all transactions involved all clients that are stored for inventory control and dispute resolution purposes. Thus, a history of currency conversion calculations from previous transactions involving the sender is an inherent feature in the system of Boesch et al.

Boesch et al also disclose determining whether a recipient requests a conversion of the payment account into the recipient primary currency, and if not creating a new balance in the sender-selected currency within the recipient account and adding the payment amount to the new balance. See column 4, lines 8-45.

Boesch et al also teach that payments will be block for which the recipient does not have a balance.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantzy Poinvil whose telephone number is (571) 272-6797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00AM to 5:30PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Dixon can be reached on (571) 272-6803. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3696

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**/Frantzy Poinvil/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3696**

FP
December 8, 2008